

TIK4031 course evaluation S24

Updated: 26 April 2024 at 10:21

What do you think about the course overall? (1–10, 10 being the best score)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 6.31 Median: 7

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	0	0%	0%
2	1	7.7%	7.7%
3	1	7.7%	7.7%
4	1	7.7%	7.7%
5	0	0%	0%
6	3	23.1%	23.1%
7	3	23.1%	23.1%
8	3	23.1%	23.1%
9	0	0%	0%
10	1	7.7%	7.7%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 6

- It was very intense with three lectures per week
- I'm really glad we had this methods course, it gives us a much better foundation for the thesis.
- Synes kurset generelt har vært dårlig og kunne blitt løst på en annen måte. Greit med en innføring i alle metoder, men mye mange av oss har lært fra før av, og oppgavene hvor vi har skullet bruke de ulike metodene har vært såpass korte at de har virket litt meningsløse. Ville feks tenkt at å ha en semesteroppgave hvor vi skulle besvart en case/problemstilling som vi kunne jobbet med gjennom semesteret og som hadde vært istedenfor eksamen hadde vært en bedre løsning.
- Long time between last lecture and the actual exam, which is not ideal.
- Good introduction to methods. Enjoyed the STS-specifics and the practical parts. Some were better organized than others (document analysis suffered from trying to reach over too much i think) but others were nice. visit from gephi people interesting.
- Likte at dere hadde praktisk gjennomgang av hvordan man utfører metodene i praksis.

What do you think about the size and scope of the curriculum? (1–10, 1 is not challenging enough, 10 is overwhelming)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 6.77 Median: 7

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	1	7.7%	7.7%
2	0	0%	0%
3	0	0%	0%
4	0	0%	0%
5	4	30.8%	30.8%
6	0	0%	0%
7	2	15.4%	15.4%
8	3	23.1%	23.1%
9	1	7.7%	7.7%
10	2	15.4%	15.4%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 6

- There are 21 books (!!) on the curriculum.....
- Good
- A bit too much in some parts. The readings in specialization is taking much time. I have increased my study hours a lot this semester. Working 6 days a week (efficient) 7-8 hours. Managed to read all curriculum in method and specialization. So a bit much for the students that wants to read it all. Also started reading curriculum in Christmas break, so even with a good start it was a lot of work. How can the student validate what articles not to prioritize?
- interesting and doable
- A lot of readings for each lecture, impossible for me to go through it all
- Too much.

How do you rate the relevance of the curriculum? (1–10, 10 being the most relevant)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 7.08 Median: 8

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	0	0%	0%
2	0	0%	0%
3	0	0%	0%
4	3	23.1%	23.1%
5	0	0%	0%
6	1	7.7%	7.7%
7	2	15.4%	15.4%
8	4	30.8%	30.8%
9	2	15.4%	15.4%
10	1	7.7%	7.7%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 2

- some more than other but easy to pick and choose
- The curriculum is maybe a bit repetative.

How do you rate the difficulty of the curriculum? (1–10, from too easy to too difficult)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 6.31 Median: 6

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	0	0%	0%
2	0	0%	0%
3	0	0%	0%
4	0	0%	0%
5	5	38.5%	38.5%
6	3	23.1%	23.1%
7	2	15.4%	15.4%
8	2	15.4%	15.4%
9	1	7.7%	7.7%
10	0	0%	0%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 0

This question has no answers

How do you rate the coherence between the different parts of the course? (1–10, 10 being the most coherent)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 6.54 Median: 7

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	0	0%	0%
2	0	0%	0%
3	1	7.7%	7.7%
4	2	15.4%	15.4%
5	1	7.7%	7.7%
6	2	15.4%	15.4%
7	2	15.4%	15.4%
8	3	23.1%	23.1%
9	1	7.7%	7.7%
10	1	7.7%	7.7%

Question without text

Number of submissions: 3

- not much focus on that but didnt feel too nessesary
- Kunne vært noe bedre. Å få mer innsikt i hvordan man kombinerer flere metoder.
- Some of it is very directed towards STS, so it would be nice to look at document analysis from a more broad perspective

How to you rate the written assignments or exercises in the course? (1–10, from disliked to liked)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 5.77 Median: 6

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	1	7.7%	7.7%
2	1	7.7%	7.7%
3	0	0%	0%
4	2	15.4%	15.4%
5	2	15.4%	15.4%
6	1	7.7%	7.7%
7	3	23.1%	23.1%
8	1	7.7%	7.7%
9	1	7.7%	7.7%
10	1	7.7%	7.7%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 4

- It was nice to practice the methods we learnt, but the assignments were a little bit unserious at times
- Felt too comprehensive given that most of the methods are both irrelevant for the exam and for the masters. While I did appreciate getting to know the different methods, the assignments became too time consuming at times
- the Winter break one was unnessesary but other fine
- Fine written assignment. Just unclear wow this was relevant for the methods course, maybe more relevant for TIK4040. The practical exercises were also good, but a bit time demanding.

How to you rate the lectures? (1–10, from low to high)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 6.92 Median: 7

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	1	7.7%	7.7%
2	0	0%	0%
3	0	0%	0%
4	0	0%	0%
5	0	0%	0%
6	4	30.8%	30.8%
7	3	23.1%	23.1%
8	2	15.4%	15.4%
9	2	15.4%	15.4%
10	1	7.7%	7.7%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 3

- Some VERY good, some not so good...ex the Gephi stuff might be necessary but rushing through it wasn't very helpful
- felt that i learned a lot
- Noen forelesere en flinkere enn andre, når det gjelder formidling. Vil trekke fram Taran og Chantelle, og én jeg ikke husker navnet på, men som hadde forelesning om da hun forsket på gentec i Lab m/torsk. Det var også kult å få besøk av de to gutta fra Danmark, om nettverks analyse. Siste forelesning viste de oss kort om hvordan vi kan kode AI (chatgtp), det var veldig kult og veldig relevant, ifht hvordan vi kan bruke AI til å organisere/lese data. (Veldig nytt, men kult om dere ser på muligheten for å inkludere det som en metode. #Fremoverlent)

How do you rate the lecturers? (1–10, from low to high)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 6.92 Median: 7

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	0	0%	0%
2	1	7.7%	7.7%
3	1	7.7%	7.7%
4	0	0%	0%
5	0	0%	0%
6	1	7.7%	7.7%
7	5	38.5%	38.5%
8	2	15.4%	15.4%
9	2	15.4%	15.4%
10	1	7.7%	7.7%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 0

This question has no answers

How do you rate the external visits? (1–10, from low to high)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 5.46 Median: 5

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	4	30.8%	30.8%
2	0	0%	0%
3	0	0%	0%
4	1	7.7%	7.7%
5	3	23.1%	23.1%
6	0	0%	0%
7	0	0%	0%
8	0	0%	0%
9	2	15.4%	15.4%
10	3	23.1%	23.1%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 1

- No visits.

How do you rate the information flow in the couse? (1–10, from low to high)

Number of submissions: 13 Average: 7.23 Median: 7

Submissions	Count	% of submissions	
1	0	0%	0%
2	0	0%	0%
3	0	0%	0%
4	1	7.7%	7.7%
5	0	0%	0%
6	1	7.7%	7.7%
7	5	38.5%	38.5%
8	5	38.5%	38.5%
9	1	7.7%	7.7%
10	0	0%	0%

Comments/suggestions for improvement

Number of submissions: 2

- Veldig utydelig for oss hvordan eksamen kommer til å være
- A bit confused when saying that we can use material from 4040, and not explain this in further detail with referencing in mind