## **Definition**

- Corporate social responsibility:
  - Private firms voluntarily make costly efforts to achieve social goals, or to avoid socially damaging consequences of their production activities, over and above what is required by government regulation (Nyborg and Zhang 2013)

## How can CSR exist at all?

- Conventional wisdom:
  - Firms with extra costs (e.g. voluntary abatement)
    will be wiped out by competition
- Explanations suggested in the literature:
  - Customers' extra WTP for "ethical" products
  - Ethical investors
  - Pre-emption of taxes or regulations
  - Worker motivation

UiO: University of Oslo

# Responsible firms attract responsible employees (Brekke & Nyborg 2008)

- Morally motivated:
  - Prefer responsible employment, all else given
- Labor market equilibrium:
  - Irresponsible firms must offer higher wages
- Cost advantage 1: Reduced wage costs
- Lower wage: Unattractive for homo oeconomicus
  - Only highly motivated apply in CSR firms
- Morally motivated: shirk less
- Cost advantage 2: Self-selection of applicants
- Market equilibrium:
  - High responsibility cost: no CSR firms survive
  - Lower responsibility cost: Both CSR and non-CSR firms;
    responsibility cost exactly offsets cost advantage 1+2
  - Even lower responsibility cost: Only CSR firms

## Do responsible firms pay lower wages?

- Yes
  - Frank 2004, Nyborg and Zhang 2013
- Nyborg and Zhang 2013:
  - Survey data: Firms' SCR reputation, other reputation
  - Register data: Wages, industry, gender, age, education, region etc.
  - Substantial negative wage premium for SCR reputation but mainly for men

## Is there self-selection?

- Experimental evidence: Yes
  - Brekke, Hauge, Lind, Nyborg 2011; Ferhler and Kosfeld 2014; Koppel and Regner 2011
- Brekke et al. 2011:
  - Those choosing a group pre-committed to charity, also contributed more to their group
  - Stronger with repetition

## Who should choose CSR?

- Is worker effort / productivity observable?
  - Knowledge-based work, e.g., hard to monitor
- If yes: economic incentives can be used
  - Recruiting responsible people less important
- If no: how bad would irresponsible employee behavior be to the firm?
  - Is shirking easy/likely? Would it matter?
  - Can employees misuse position for own benefits?

## To conclude

- CSR firms
  - can pay lower wages
  - recruit responsible employees
  - motivate effort among those already recruited
- Does it pay?
  - Not necessarily: Only if gains exceed SCR costs
  - If CSR and non-CSR firms coexist, we would expect profits to be «normal» for each

Nyborg (2014): Do responsible employers attract responsible employees? IZA World of Labor (http://wol.iza.org/articles/do-responsible-employers-attract-responsible-employees/long)